28

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2169 Filed 11/03/17 Page 2 of 2

1	Pursuant to the Court's request, Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto LLC	
2	submit their critique of the Court's Further Jury Instruction on Trade Secret Misappropriation	
3	(Dkt. 2078). Defendants believe that the proposed instruction is a proper statement of the law and	
4	is an appropriate instruction to give the j	ury.
5	5	
6	Dated: November 3, 2017	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
7	7	
8	3	By: /s/ Arturo J. González
9		ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ
10		Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and OTTOMOTTO LLC
11	1	OTTOMOTTO ELC
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	5	
17	7	
18	3	
19		
20		
21	l	
22	2	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	

Defendants' Critique of Further Jury Instruction on Trade Secret Misappropriation [Dkt. 2078] Case No. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA sf-3834849